IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.

APPEAL No. 247 of 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION No. 2784 of 2005.

Homa Enginnering Works. ..Appellant.

Versus.

The Workmen Employed by it
represented by Sarva Shramik Sangh..Respondent.

Mr. Aditya Chitale i/b Mr. P.N. Anaokar for the
appellant.

Mr. Shailesh S. Pathak with Mr. T.R.Yadav
Advocate for Respondent.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN AND
S.J.VAZIFDAR, JJ.
DATE : 25/04/2006.

P.C.

. Heard the learned counsel for the appellant and the respondent. By this appeal, the appellant is challenging the legality of the order passed by the learned Single Judge dated 28/02/2006 whereby the learned Single Judge has refused to grant interim relief in favour of the appellant. We have perused Exh.H to Exh. I and J at page No. 57 to 68 wherein admittedly appellant had issued permanency status to 12 workmen. Under these circumstances, we do not find any error in the observations made by learned Single Judge in the order at paragraph nos. 4 and 5.

Appeal is devoid of any merits. Hence appeal is dismissed.

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J)

(S.J. VAZIFDAR,J)